UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

CELINA INSURANCE GROUP,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) No. 1:22-cv-00865-JPH-TAB
LARRY AND CAROL YELEY FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, LARRY E YELEY,)))
Defendants.)

ORDER ON JURISDICTION

Plaintiff, Celina Insurance Group, has filed a complaint alleging that this Court has diversity jurisdiction over this matter. Dkt. 1 at 1. For the Court to have diversity jurisdiction, the amount in controversy must exceed \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the litigation must be between citizens of different states. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). One of the defendants is a partnership, and the citizenship of a partnership is "the citizenship of every partner, limited as well as general." *Dvorak v. Granite Creek GP Flexcap I, LLC*, 908 F.3d 248, 250 (7th Cir. 2018); *see Baez-Sanchez v. Sessions*, 862 F.3d 638, 641 (7th Cir. 2017).

Because Celina Insurance did not initially allege the citizenship of the defendant partnership, the Court issued a jurisdictional order. Dkt. 6. Celina Insurance's response identified two general partners and their business address in Indiana but did not otherwise address their citizenship. Dkt. 8. It also did not address whether the partnership has limited partners. *Id.* Since

then, Defendants have appeared and have answered the complaint but have

not addressed their citizenship. See dkt. 12.

Counsel has an obligation to analyze subject-matter jurisdiction, *Heinen*

v. Northrop Grumman Corp., 671 F.3d 669, 670 (7th Cir. 2012), and "[a] federal

court must assure itself of subject matter jurisdiction in every case," Boim v.

American Muslims for Palestine, 9 F.4th 545, 551–52 (7th Cir. 2021). The

Court's obligation includes knowing the details of the underlying jurisdictional

allegations. See Evergreen Square of Cudahy v. Wis. Hous. and Econ. Dev.

Auth., 776 F.3d 463, 465 (7th Cir. 2015) ("[T]he parties' united front is

irrelevant since the parties cannot confer subject-matter jurisdiction by

agreement . . . and federal courts are obligated to inquire into the existence of

jurisdiction sua sponte.").

Therefore, the Court **ORDERS** the parties to file a joint jurisdictional

statement by August 26, 2022, addressing all general and limited partners of

the defendant partnership and analyzing their citizenship. See Baez-Sanchez,

862 F.3d at 641.

SO ORDERED.

Date: 8/10/2022

James Patrick Hanlon United States District Judge

Southern District of Indiana

James Patrick Hanlon

2

Distribution:

Anna Muehling Mallon PAGANELLI LAW GROUP amallon@paganelligroup.com

Donald K. McClellan MCCLELLAN & MCCLELLAN outback3917@aol.com